

United States Patent and Trademark Office

mf

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/604,862	08/22/2003	Ming-Yang Chao	MTKP0032USA 1861		
27765	7590 11/13/2006		EXAMINER		
NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION			GUPTA, PARUL H		
P.O. BOX 50 MERRIFIEL	6 D, VA 22116	ART UNIT ·	PAPER NUMBER		
11121CICIT 1222, 111 22110			2627		
			DATE MAILED: 11/13/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/604,862	CHAO, MING-YANG		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Parul Gupta	2627		

	Parul Gupta	2627	
-The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED 06 November 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) i	of Appeal. To avoid aba affidavit, or other evide n compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 79	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the mai (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN T	ling date of the final reject	ion.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amous shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	nt of the fee. The appropring riginally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)),	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in belo appeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling a	nsideration and/or search (see Nw); ter form for appeal by materially	IOTE below); reducing or simplifying	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).			
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	•	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		a the state of secondar	4
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 		·	
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	·		
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	It before or on the date of filing a discrimination of the date of	Notice of Appeal will <u>nearly</u> favit or other evidence	ot be entered is necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attac	hed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the applicatio	n in condition for allowa	ince because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
13. Other:			
•		•	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Regarding the argument that the delay signal of Kaku et al. is not generated according to the selected set of write strategy parameters, Kaku et al. mentions that the parameters are generated in response to the reproduction power level, which is the same as the write strategy parameters.

Regarding the argument that Kaku et al. does not specifically teach a fine delay chain, Kato et al. is relied upon for this feature.

Regarding the argument that the rough delay of Kato et al. does not generate a fine delay signal according to a selected set of write strategy parameters for use by the fine delay to thereby generate the write signal, column 5, lines 6-19 teach the same limitations. In the reference, the write strategy delay table is used to calculate course (rough) and fine delays based on the write strategy (set of write strategy parameters) that are used.

WILLIAM KORZUCH
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600